

small dab of UV-curable epoxy at both ends away from the taper. The chuck was then mounted on a straight copper tube with a Swagelock[®] fitting on one end. The fiber was passed through the fitting with the help of a hole in a Teflon[®] ferrule [26], which was used to vacuum-seal the chamber by compression. The other end of the fiber was also taken out of the chamber using a similar fitting and ferrule combination at the bottom of the chamber. A vacuum sealant was sprayed at the fiber-ferrule joint in order to achieve a pressure of about 5 mTorr. The copper tube was heated from outside to reach a temperature of 100°C. The vacuum chamber was also heated to the same temperature. Between experimental runs, the oven was kept isolated from the TNF chamber with an all metal valve. This apparatus is shown schematically in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Schematic of the tapered Nano fiber set-up

4. Experimental setup

The experimental setup is summarized in Fig. 3. The output of a Ti:Sapphire laser was split by a 50/50 non-polarizing beam splitter. The beam reflected by the splitter was shifted up by 80 MHz with an acousto-optic modulator (AOM), to produce the S-polarized probe beam. The beam passing through the splitter was up-shifted by a second AOM, also at 80 MHz, to produce the pump beam, which was P-polarized by passing through a half-wave plate. Attenuators were then used to reduce the power in both beams independently. The pump and probe were combined on a polarizing beam-splitter (PBS), and coupled into the TNF. The output was passed through another PBS, separating out the pump and the probe. The probe was then detected with an avalanche photo-diode (APD). Using another non-polarizing beam splitter, 10% of the probe beam (before attenuation) was diverted to a vapor cell for saturated absorption spectroscopy. For the pulsed modulation reported in section 5.2, signal from this cell was used to lock the laser to a hyperfine transition.

5. Results

5.1 Probe absorption reduction due to presence of pump

Figure 4 shows one set of data taken using this setup. The probe (pump) power was 100 pW (40 nW). First, the red trace shows the probe transmission when the pump beam is turned off. As the probe frequency was scanned across the D1 manifold, three dips were observed. The biggest dip corresponds to the transition from the $5S_{1/2}, F = 3$ ground-state in ^{85}Rb to the $5P_{3/2}$ hyperfine levels, which are not resolved because of Doppler broadening. The small dip on its




Fig. 3. Experimental setup for demonstrating optical modulation. Both AOMs are operated at the same frequency (80 MHz). The pump and the probe are each linearly polarized, orthogonal to each other.

left corresponds to a transition in ^{87}Rb , from $5S_{1/2}$, $F = 2$ ground-state to the $5P_{3/2}$ hyperfine levels. The dip on its right corresponds to the transition from the $5S_{1/2}$, $F = 2$ ground-state in ^{85}Rb to the $5P_{3/2}$ hyperfine levels. The scan range was not long enough to see the other transition in ^{87}Rb : from $5S_{1/2}$, $F = 1$ ground-state to the $5P_{3/2}$ hyperfine levels.

Next, the blue trace shows the probe absorption when the pump is turned on. There is a strong increase in the probe transmission at the center of the $F = 3$ transition (the middle one




Fig. 4. Absorption spectrum of the probe in the absence (red) and presence (blue) of the pump.

in Fig. 4). The physical mechanism behind the strong modulation of the probe transmission caused by the pump can be explained as follows. Consider first the Zeeman sublevel of the $m_F = 0$ in the $F = 3$ ground-state, and how it is coupled to the $F' = 2$ excited state. The probe, which is a superposition of left and right circularly polarized light, with a relative phase ψ , would couple this state to $m_F = -1$ and $m_F = 1$ sublevels in the $F' = 2$ state. The pump is also a superposition of left and right circularly polarized light, with a relative phase ϕ , and would excite the same pair of transitions.

However, the phases ψ and ϕ differ by π . As such, the net interaction can be represented as a V-system, with one leg excited by the probe and the other by the pump. This is illustrated in Fig. 5. Here, the in-phase superposition state ($|p\rangle$) is coupled to the ground state $|a\rangle$ by the P-polarized pump beam only, and the out-of-phase superposition state ($|n\rangle$) is coupled to the ground state $|a\rangle$ by the S-polarized probe beam only. Thus, the net interaction is equivalent to the V-system shown in Fig. 1, in the same configuration as what corresponds to the QZE. Specifically, in the absence of the pump, the probe excites state $|a\rangle$ to state $|n\rangle$, corresponding to strong absorption. However, when the pump is present, the effective continuous measurement process keeps the atoms locked in state $|a\rangle$, thus reducing strongly the absorption of the probe beam.

It should be noted that when the pump is turned on, the residual probe absorption develops some asymmetry, and the centroid of each dip appears to be slightly shifted. Further investigation is required to elucidate the origin of these effects, and will be carried out in the future. However, for the purpose of modulation, these effects may not be very important.




Fig. 5. Schematic illustration of the process that produces the QZE when the atoms are excited by cross-linearly polarized pump and probe beams.

A more quantitative description of the process makes use of the concept of level splitting, as also described in the introduction. Specifically, when the pump is turned on, the ground state gets split into two dressed states, each out of resonance for the probe if the pump intensity is greater than the saturation intensity of the isolated atom (i.e., $\Omega > \Gamma$, where Ω is the pump Rabi frequency, and Γ is the natural linewidth). When the Doppler broadening is taken into account, the condition for probe transparency is that $\Omega > \sqrt{\Gamma * \Gamma_D}$, where Γ_D is the half-width of the Doppler broadening. This condition is satisfied by the pump power (~ 40 nW) used. The same model applies to transitions to the $F' = 3$ and $F' = 4$ excited states as well. Of course, for some values of m_F , only left (right) circular transition is allowed; in that case, the system behaves as a pure two level transition, excited by identically polarized parts of the pump and the probe. The reduction in probe transmission for these sublevels is due to the conventional self-induced transparency.

5.2 Pulsed modulation

Figure 6 shows results of pulsed modulation, with the laser locked at the center of the $F = 3$ transition. The probe is kept constant at 100 pW, while the pump intensity is modulated by using the pump AOM. The top left panel shows the probe transmission when this modulation is at 10 Hz. The other three panels show the same for increasing modulation frequencies. At 10 KHz, the probe transmission deviates from the square profile, due to the limited bandwidth (~ 50 kHz) of the APD.




Fig. 6. Low-frequency modulation results for a duty cycle of 70% (on-state), for four different frequencies. At 10 kHz, the signal is limited by the APD bandwidth.

A careful consideration of the physical mechanism behind this modulation reveals that the modulation speed is fundamentally limited by the time needed for the atoms to repopulate the ground state, $|a\rangle$, after the pump is turned off, so that they can absorb the probe again. This means that the modulation bandwidth cannot exceed the homogeneous linewidth (HL). For the TNF system, the HL is dominated by the transit time broadening, which is about 100 MHz, as shown in Ref. [5]. The HL can be increased very significantly by adding a buffer gas, such as ^4He . In a series of studies carried out in the context of the development of diode pumped alkali lasers (DPALs) [27], it has been shown that the atoms excited to the $5P_{3/2}$ state relaxes very rapidly to the $5P_{1/2}$ state. The rate of relaxation from the $5P_{1/2}$ state to the ground state can be augmented by adding an auxiliary, strong beam that would be turned on at the same time as the pump is turned off, and turned off before turning on the pump again. For buffer gas pressure of 1 atm, the HL is about 10 GHz. A HL linewidth as large as 500 GHz is possible in the presence of a buffer gas pressure of 25 atm. Of course, the intensity needed to saturate a 500 GHz broadening would be too hard to realize in a TNF. However, based on a typical DPAL laser in Rb, which requires a threshold pump intensity of about $15 \mu\text{W}/\mu\text{m}^2$, a pump power of about $3 \mu\text{W}$ should be enough to achieve the 10 GHz modulation bandwidth in the TNF, which has a mode area of only $0.2 \mu\text{m}^2$. The same level of power would be needed for the auxiliary pump beam for rapid depopulation of $5P_{1/2}$ state to the ground state.

In reference 28, Hendrickson et al. reported a scheme whereby a control beam at 770 nm affects the transmission of a probe beam at 780 nm, when both are passed through a TNF embedded in Rb vapor. This approach is based on non-resonant, thermal effect induced by the pump, and is fundamentally different from the effect we are reporting here. Because of the thermal nature of the effect, it requires a much stronger effect, and is unlikely to work at a high frequency.

6. Future work

The setup shown in Fig. 3 could not be employed for observing high frequency modulations for two reasons. First, the AOM modulation bandwidth is only a few MHz. This could be circumvented by using a high-speed EOM, configured for intensity modulation, and inserting it after the modulator AOM, kept turned on continuously. Second, the bandwidth of the APD used is only about 50 kHz. On the other hand, the high speed detectors we have are not sensitive enough for the 100 pW probe. This limitation can be circumvented by inserting a Fabry-Perot cavity (FPC) in front of the APD. The modulation imparted to the probe would then show up as sidebands of the FPC output as its length is scanned. A constraint of this

approach is that the FPC yields information on the magnitude only of the spectrum of the modulated probe, and not the relative phases between the various spectral components. As such, by itself, this method would not reveal the actual shapes of the probe modulation. An additional EOM and an interferometer would be needed to recover the phase of each spectral component. These modifications, as well as the process of adding a buffer gas to the TNF chamber, are in progress.

7. Conclusion

To summarize, we have demonstrated an ultra-low light level optical modulator using a tapered nano fiber embedded in a hot rubidium vapor. The control and signal beams are co-propagating but orthogonally polarized, leading to a degenerate V-system involving coherent superpositions of Zeeman sublevels. The modulation is due primarily to the quantum Zeno effect for the signal beam induced by the control beam. For a control power of 40 nW and a signal power of 100 pW, we observe near 100% modulation. The ultra-low power level needed for the modulation is due to a combination of the Zeno effect and extreme field localization in the evanescent field around the taper. Efforts are underway to demonstrate modulation speeds of several GHz by adding a buffer gas to the system.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by AFOSR Grant # FA9550-10-01-0228, NSF IGERT Grant # DGE-0801685, and the DARPA ZOE program under Grant # W31P4Q-09-1-0014.